

Physics of a stochastic partial differential equation for kinematic rupture modeling

Poster Downloadable

1. Introduction

Inverted fault motions are diverse (Fig.1), but statistically show some empirical laws.

This study aims to explore a stochastic model to represent empirical laws, which may contribute to the understanding of fault mechanics/stochastic ground motion simulation.

Abbreviation

- $oldsymbol{x}$: Position on a fault plane $\Gamma \; (\in \mathbb{R}^n, \; n=0,1,2)$
- $D(oldsymbol{x},t)$: Slip distribution along Γ
- $V({m x},t):=\check{D}$: Slip rate distribution
- $S(t):= \int V(m{x},t)\,\mathrm{d}m{x}$: Source time function (STF) \propto moment rate function
- T: Duration of slip (i.e., $T < t \Rightarrow V \equiv 0$)

Point source (n=0)

The STFs follow:

1. Non-negative, compactly supported, and dominantly unimodal [Yin+ 2021, *SRL*].



solutions of eq.(2)

- 2. ω^{-2} -model: Fourier Fig.1 Various STFs and their spectra (data from SCARDEC). amplitude follows a power law, i.e., $|\mathfrak{F}S(f)| \sim f^{-2}$ for $\frac{1}{T} \ll f$ [Boatwright 1980, *BSSA*; Abercrombie 1995, *JGR*].
- $S(au)\,\mathrm{d} au\sim t^3~(t\ll T)$, [Uchide & Ide 2010, JGR; Meier+ 2016, GRL] 3. The cube law: and $M_0:=\mu ~ig |~~S(au)\,\mathrm{d} au\propto T^3$ [Houston 2001, JGR]
- 4. The GR law: The probability density of M_0 is approximated as $P(M_0) \propto M_0^{-{1\over 3}} \propto T^{-2}$ [Gutenberg & Richter 1944, BSSA].

The above four laws are satisfied [Hirano 2022, Sci.Rep.] if

$$S(t) = \int_0^t X_s^{(1)} \, X_{t-s}^{(2)} \, \mathrm{d} s,$$

where $X_t^{(1)}$ and $X_t^{(2)}$ are the Bessel processes, solutions of a stochastic differential equation:

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = \frac{(3-4b)\,\mathrm{d}t}{2X_t} + \mathrm{d}B_t \tag{2}$$

(Fig.2&3), where b is the b-value of the GR law, and B_t is a standard Brownian noise.

Finite fault (n=2)

5. k^{-2} -model: Spectral fall-off rate of Fourier amplitude of the final slip in the wavenumber domain is -2; that is

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} D(oldsymbol{x},T)\,e^{2\pi ioldsymbol{k}\cdotoldsymbol{x}}\,\mathrm{d}oldsymbol{x}
ight|\sim |oldsymbol{k}|^{-2},$$

where $k \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is the wavenumber vector [Herrero & Bernard 1994, *BSSA*].

6. Ballistic rupture propagation slightly slower than the wave speed.

Mathematical model for all (six) empilical laws?

Spatial distribution \Rightarrow Stochastic Partial Differential Equation (SPDE) for D is required.



Fig.3: Synthetic S(t)

by eqs.(1) and (2).

Shiro Hirano (Hirosaki Univ., E-mail: hirano@hirosaki-u.ac.jp, Web: https://interfacial.jp/)

2. Mathematical model extension

Starting from the Bessel process:

$$\mathrm{d}X = rac{\mu-1}{2}rac{\mathrm{d}t}{X} + \sigma\,\mathrm{d}B.$$

Can we replace dB by a space-time white noise?

NO! because it generates noise everywhere (i.e., even currently nonslipping region during the earthquake may slip due to the noise).

The squared Bessel process

 $V:=X^2$ and Itô's lemma yields the following:

 $\dot{V}=\mu+2\sigma\sqrt{V}\,\dot{B},$

which generates the noise only at V>0.

Rupture (or energy) propagation in space

We consider the following system:

$$\dot{O} = V_{+} = rac{1}{2}(V + |V|), \hspace{1.5cm} (3)$$

$$\dot{V} = \Delta D + \mu + 2\sigma \sqrt{V_+} \dot{B} + \delta(\boldsymbol{x}) H(t),$$
 (4)

where V_+ is non-negative slip rate, and $\delta(m{x})$ is Dirac's δ -function for ignition.

If $\sigma = 0$, the above is a wave equation (Figs.4&5).

: Differentiate eq.(4), then substitute eq.(3) into it.



Hirosaki

university







3. Numercal solutions for 1-D case

We solved the system numerically (Finite difference, Euler-Maruyama scheme) with $\sigma=1.$

Fig.7: Final slip (top), slip evolution (middle triangle), and slip rate (bottom triangle) following the stochastic 1-D model with $\mu=-3$. The four solutions died at $t\sim 0.5$, but the durations are determined by the stochastic process. Spectra are for the final slip in the wavenumber domain (blue) and the STF in the frequency domain (green).

Power law for event duration (Fig.8)

 $N \propto T^{-3}$ for $\mu < -3.$





Fig.8: Histogram of event duration for various values of friction μ . Stronger friction ($\mu < -3$) seems to yields a power law. Lines indicate $N \propto T^{-2}$ and T^{-3} .

Cases for $\mu=-3$ show various slip evolution (Fig.7).

Spontaneous termination in many cases.

 \cdot The friction term μ always tranquilizes the solution, while the noise term $2\sqrt{V_+B}$ strongly perturbs during a high slip rate. The termination follows stochastically.

Heterogeneous final slip distributions following k^{-2} -type spectral fall-off rate i the wavenumber domain (blue in Fig.6).

. After termination of slip ($t
ightarrow\infty$), eq.(4) becomes

$$\Delta D = \delta(x) \Leftrightarrow (4\pi k)^2 \widehat{D} = 1,$$

where \widehat{D} is the Fourier transform of D, and k is the 1-d wavenumber. Hence $\widehat{D} \propto k^{-2}$.

Predominantly unilateral propagation slightly slower than the wave speed (edges of gray triangles).

: Sometimes, the front cannot propagate stochastically due to the noise, which delays the macroscopic propagation speed.

Frequent backward propagation like *boomerang rupture* [Hicks+ 2020, *Nat.Geos.*].

 ω^{-1} -type spectra (green in Fig.6).

After integration w.r.t. space $\Delta\mapsto 0,\;\delta\mapsto 1$), the solution is essentially Brownian motion.

	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} $
	Fig.9: Evolution of V with $\mu=0$ and $\sigma=1$.
	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0 \end{array} \\ 0 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $
	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} $
	Fig.10: Evolution of V with $\mu=-3$ and $\sigma=1.$
Frictionless ca	se (Fig.9)
The slip rate	diverges.
Frictional case	(FIG.10)
I ne sup rate	terminates spontaneously.
ω -model a	nu the cube law are satisfied.
No variation	in event size
Why doesn't it	work?
Integrating t	he SPDE over currently ruptured region $A(t)$ for $t > 0$:
	$\int_{A(t)} \dot{V} d\boldsymbol{x} = \dot{S},$
	$\int \left(\Delta D + \mu + 2\sigma \sqrt{V_{T}} \dot{R} + \delta(r) H(t) \right) dr = \mu \Delta(t) \pm 1$
	$J_{A(t)} (- \mu \mu$
meaning tha	t amplitude of deceleration is proportional to the current area $A(t).$
For the GR	law, the probability of rupture termination in each time step must b
proportional	to $\frac{I}{A(t)}$ [Scholz 1986, BSSA].
The friction !	erm must have some different structure(?)
5. Conclu	sions
Stochastic kin	ematic source modeling
Many empiri	cal laws should be satisfied statistically.
Potentially c	ontributes to fault dynamics/strong ground motion simulation.
The point so	urce model works well [Hirano 2022 <i>Sci.Rep.</i>].
	el satisfies some of the laws, except ω^{-2} -type spectrum and the GR law.
The 1-D mod	el does not show diversity, almost deterministic and characteristic functions
The 1-D mod The 2-D mod	a abes not show any croicy, atmost accentinistic and characteristic functions
The 1-D mod The 2-D mod Future work?	
The 1-D mod The 2-D mod Future work? Modification	of the 2-D model that satisfies all the empirical laws and coincides with the

This work has been supported by JSPS KAKENHI 22K03782. The source time functions in Fig.1 are from the SCARDEC database http://scardec.projects.sismo.ipgp.fr/